

2S DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Patent and Trademark Office

COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. **FILING DATE** APPLICATION NO. 08/894,788 08/27/97 GIACOMONI P 05725.0213 **EXAMINER** HM12/1221 FINNEGAN HENDERSON FARABOW CHANNAVAJJALA.L **GARRETT & DUNNER** PAPER NUMBER 1300 I STREET NW WASHINGTON DC 20005 1615 DATE MAILED: 12/21/99

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

BEST AVAILABLE COPY



Applicant(s)

Application No. 08/894,788

. Applicam

Paolo Giacomoni

Advisory Action Example Example 1

Examiner

Lakshmi Channavajjala

Group Art Unit 1615



ТН	E P	ERIC	DD F	OR RESPONSE	: [check only a) or b)]				
	a)		expire	es n	nonths from the m	ailing date of the final r	ejection.			
	b) expires either three months from the mailing date of the final rejection, or on the mailing date of this Advisory Action, whis later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for the response expire later than six months from the date of the rejection.									Action, whichever date of the final
	Any extension of time must be obtained by filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a), the proposed response and the appropriate feed date on which the response, the petition, and the fee have been filed is the date of the response and also the date for the purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.17 will be calculated from the date of the originally set shortened statutory period for response or as set forth in b) above.									the purposes of
	Ap per	pella riod	ant's for re	Brief is due tweesponse set for	o months from th above, which	the date of the Noti hever is later). See	ce of Appeal 37 CFR 1.19	filed on 11(d) and 37	CFR 1.192(a).	_ (or within any
Ap but	olic is	ant' NO	s res T dee	ponse to the fi med to place t	inal rejection, file the application in	ed on <u>Dec 9, 13</u> n condition for allow	999 has be vance:	een consider	ed with the foll	owing effect,
∑ The proposed amendment(s):										
will be entered upon filing of a Notice of Appeal and an Appeal Brief.										
	they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See note below).).
they raise the issue of new matter. (See note below).										
they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simpli issues for appeal.									r simplifying the	
			they	present addition	litional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.					
		NOT		The proposed amendment to claim 31 would require further consideration , because "topically applied " not presented in the original claims.						
		Ар —	plica	nt's response h	nas overcome th	ne following rejection	n(s):		-	
	Ne se	ewly para	prop	osed or amend mely filed ame	ded claimsendment cancelli	ing the non-allowabl	e claims.	would	be allowable if	submitted in a
				it, exhibit or re ce because:	equest for recon	sideration has been	considered b	out does NOT	T place the app	lication in condition
		The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.								
X	For purposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any):									
	CI	Claims allowed:								
	CI	aims	s obje	cted to:				 -		
	CI	aims	s reje	cted: <u>31-38, 4</u>	10-54, and 56-6	6				
	Th	те рі	opos	ed drawing co	rrection filed on		has	□has not b	een approved b	y the Examiner.
	No	Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s)								
	01	ther						\$	SUPERVISOR P	N K. PAGE APPET DEAMINER CENTER 1600